Ben A. Kaplan
CHULSKY KAPLAN LLC

280 Prospect Ave. 6G Hackensack, NJ 07601

Phone: (877) 827-3395 ex 102 Cell Phone: (201) 803-6611

Fax: (877) 827-3394

ben@chulskykaplanlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

TERESA SOLIS F/K/A CONCEPCION, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

WEBCOLLEX, LLC D/B/A CKS FINANCIAL; OLIPHANT FINANCIAL, LLC and JOHN DOES 1-25,

Defendant(s).

Civil Case Number:

### **CIVIL ACTION**

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

## LOCAL CIVIL RULE 10.1 STATEMENT

1. The mailing addresses of the parties to this action are:

TERESA SOLIS F/K/A CONCEPCION 61 Wallace Street Belleville, NJ 07109

WEBCOLLEX, LLC D/B/A CKS FINANCIAL 505 Independence Parkway Suite 300 Chesapeake, Virginia 23320

OLIPHANT FINANCIAL, LLC 1800 2<sup>nd</sup> Street Suite 603 Sarasota, Florida 34236

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

2. Plaintiff on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, alleges that Defendants, WEBCOLLEX, LLC D/B/A CKS FINANCIAL ("CKS FINANCIAL"); OLIPHANT FINANCIAL, LLC ("OLIPHANT FINANCIAL") and JOHN DOES 1-25 their employees, agents and successors (collectively "Defendants") violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This is an action for violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*.
- 4. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) because the acts of the Defendant that give rise to this action, occurred in substantial part, in this district.

#### **DEFINITIONS**

5. As used in this complaint, the terms "creditor," "consumer," "debt" and "debt collector" are defined at 15 U.S.C. § 1692a.

#### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person, a resident of Essex County, New Jersey and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. CKS FINANCIAL maintains a location at 505 Independence Parkway, Suite 300, Chesapeake, Virginia 23320.
- 8. OLIPHANT FINANCIAL maintains a location at 1800 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 603, Sarasota, Florida 34236.

- 9. CKS FINANCIAL uses the instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails to engage in the principal business of collecting debt and/or to regularly engage in the collection or attempt to collect debt asserted to be due or owed to another.
- 10. OLIPHANT FINANCIAL uses the instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails to engage in the principal business of collecting debt and/or to regularly engage in the collection or attempt to collect debt asserted to be due or owed to another.
- 11. CKS FINANCIAL is a "Debt Collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).
- 12. OLIPHANT FINANCIAL is a "Debt Collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).
- 13. John Does 1-25, are currently unknown Defendants whose identities will be obtained in discovery and at that time will be made parties to this action pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP"); Rule 15, Rule 20 and Rule 21. Plaintiff's claims against the currently unknown Defendants arise out of the same transaction, occurrence or series of transactions arising from known Defendant's actions and are due to common questions of law and fact whose joinder will promote litigation and judicial efficiency.

## **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

14. Plaintiff brings this action as a state-wide class action, pursuant to Rule 23 of the FRCP, on behalf of herself and all New Jersey consumers and their successors in interest (the "Class"), who were sent debt collection letters and/or notices from the Defendant, in violation of the FDCPA, as described in this Complaint.

15. This Action is properly maintained as a class action. The Class is initially defined as:

All New Jersey consumers who were sent letters and/or notices from CKS FINANCIAL concerning a debt owned by OLIPHANT FINANCIAL, which originated with MID AMERICA BANK AND TRUST – VERVE and which included the alleged conduct and practices described herein.

The class definition may be subsequently modified or refined. The Class period begins one year prior to the filing of this Action.

- 16. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
  - impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons who were sent debt collection letters and/or notices from the Defendant(s) that violate specific provisions of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining about a standard form letter and/or notice that was sent to at least fifty (50) persons (See Exhibit A). The undersigned has, in accordance with FRCP Rule 5.2, redacted the financial account numbers and/or personal identifiers in said letter.
  - b. <u>Commonality:</u> There are questions of law and fact common to the class members which predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:

- i. Whether the Defendants violated various provisions of the FDCPA
   as set forth herein:
- ii. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by the Defendants' conduct;
- iii. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendants' wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and
- iv. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory relief.
- c. <u>Typicality:</u> Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- d. Adequacy of Representation: Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- 17. A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates no unusual difficulties in the management of this class action.
- 18. A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to

seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as damages.

19. Defendant(s) have acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby making appropriate final relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

# STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 20. Plaintiff is at all times to this lawsuit, a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 21. At some time prior to February 2, 2018, Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation to MID AMERICA BANK AND TRUST VERVE ACCOUNT ("MID AMERICA").
- 22. The MID AMERICA obligation is an open end loan as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:11C-2 and/or retail charge account as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:16C-1 et seq.
- 23. The MID AMERICA obligation arose out of a transaction, in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 24. Plaintiff incurred the MID AMERICA obligation by obtaining goods and services which were primarily for personal, family and household purposes.
- 25. The MID AMERICA obligation did not arise out of a transaction that was for non-personal use.
- 26. The MID AMERICA obligation did not arise out of a transaction that was for business use.
  - 27. The MID AMERICA obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
  - 28. MID AMERICA is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(4).

- 29. At some time prior to February 6, 2018, the MID AMERICA obligation was purchased by and/or sold to OLIPHANT FINANCIAL.
- 30. At the time the MID AMERICA obligation was purchased by and/or sold to OLIPHANT FINANCIAL, the obligation was in default.
- 31. The principal purpose of OLIPHANT FINANCIAL is the collection of debts which are in default at the time it purchases the debts.
- 32. OLIPHANT FINANCIAL has obtained a license and/or has registered as a collection agency and/or as a debt collector with at least one State and/or State department or agency within the United States within the relevant period.
- OLIPHANT FINANCIAL did not obtain a license from the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance prior to purchasing the obligation as required by law. See *Veras v. LVNV Funding, LLC*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 34176 (D.N.J. Mar. 17, 2014); *Lopez v. Law Offices of Faloni & Associates*, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 124730 (D.N.J. Sept. 14, 2016); *Latteri v. Mayer*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 85926 (D.N.J. May 22, 2018); and *New Century Fin. v. Trewin*, 2018 N.J. Super. Unpub. LEXIS 1688 (May 24, 2018).
- 34. On or before February 2, 2018, OLIPHANT FINANCIAL referred the MID AMERICA obligation to CKS FINANCIAL for the purpose of collections.
- 35. CKS FINANCIAL has obtained a license and/or has registered as a collection agency and/or as a debt collector with at least one State and/or State department or agency within the United States within the relevant period.
- 36. At the time the MID AMERICA obligation was referred to CKS FINANCIAL the MID AMERICA obligation was past due.

At the time the MID AMERICA obligation was referred to CKS FINANCIAL the 37.

MID AMERICA obligation was in default pursuant to the terms of the agreement creating the

obligation and/or by operation of law.

Defendants caused to be delivered to Plaintiff a letter dated February 2, 2018, which 38.

was addressed to Plaintiff. A copy of said letter is annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**, which is fully

incorporated herein by reference.

OLIPHANT FINANCIAL did not obtain a license from the New Jersey Department 39.

of Banking and Insurance prior to authorizing and/or causing CKS FINANCIAL to send its

February 2, 2018 letter as required by law. See Veras v. LVNV Funding, LLC, 2014 U.S. Dist.

LEXIS 34176 (D.N.J. Mar. 17, 2014); Lopez v. Law Offices of Faloni & Associates, 2016 U.S.

Dist. LEXIS 124730 (D.N.J. Sept. 14, 2016); Latteri v. Mayer, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 85926; and

New Century Fin. v. Trewin, 2018 N.J. Super. Unpub. LEXIS 1688.

The February 2, 2018 letter was sent to Plaintiff in connection with the collection 40.

of the MID AMERICA obligation.

The February 2, 2018 letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 41.

1692a(2).

The February 2, 2018 letter is the initial written communication sent from 42.

Defendant to the Plaintiff.

Upon receipt, Plaintiff read the February 2, 2018 letter. 43.

The February 2, 2018 letter provides the following information regarding the 44.

balance claimed due on the MID AMERICA obligation:

Account Balance: \$1,003.28

- 45. The February 2, 2018 letter did not itemize or breakdown the amount of the debt by principal, interest, fees and other charges.
- 46. The outstanding balance claimed to be due by Defendants on the MID AMERICA obligation as of February 2, 2018 included an amount for interest, fees and/or other charges.
- 47. The February 2, 2018 letter did not inform Plaintiff that the amount of the debt included an amount for interest.
- 48. The February 2, 2018 letter did not inform Plaintiff that the amount of the debt included an amount for costs and/or fees.
- 49. OLIPHANT FINANCIAL is a consumer lender as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:11C-2 et seq.
- 50. OLIPHANT FINANCIAL is in the business of buying, discounting or endorsing notes, or of furnishing, or procuring guarantee or security for compensation in amount of \$50,000 or less.
- 51. OLIPHANT FINANCIAL is a sales finance company as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:11C-2 and N.J.S.A. 17:16C-1 et seq.
- 52. The obligation is an open end loan as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:11C-2 and/or retail charge account as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:16C-1 et seq.
  - 53. Alternatively, the obligation is a consumer loan as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:11C-2.
- 54. OLIPHANT FINANCIAL engages in the consumer loan business as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:11C-2.
- 55. OLIPHANT FINANCIAL engages in the business of purchasing defaulted consumer notes, defaulted consumer loans and/or defaulted retail charge accounts.

- 56. At all times relevant to this matter, CKS FINANCIAL did not obtain a license as a consumer lender or a sales finance company issued by the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance.
- 57. At all times relevant to this matter, CKS FINANCIAL did not obtain a license under authority of the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act.
- 58. At all times relevant to this matter, CKS FINANCIAL did not obtain a license issued by the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance.
- 59. At all times relevant to this matter, CKS FINANCIAL did not obtain a license under authority of the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act authorizing it to make consumer loans, or to buy, discount or endorse notes (loans), or to receive interest.
- 60. At all times relevant to this matter, OLIPHANT FINANCIAL did not obtain a license under authority of the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act.
- 61. At all times relevant to this matter, OLIPHANT FINANCIAL did not obtain a license issued by the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance.
- 62. At all times relevant to this matter, OLIPHANT FINANCIAL did not obtain a license under authority of the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act authorizing it to make consumer loans, or to buy, discount or endorse notes (loans), or to receive interest.
- 63. At no time was CKS FINANCIAL authorized to charge or add interest to Plaintiff's account.
- 64. At no time was CKS FINANCIAL authorized to collect interest on Plaintiff's account.
  - 65. At no time was CKS FINANCIAL authorized to collect on Plaintiff's account.

- 66. At no time was OLIPHANT FINANCIAL authorized to charge or add interest to Plaintiff's account.
- 67. At no time was OLIPHANT FINANCIAL authorized to collect interest on Plaintiff's account.
- 68. At no time was OLIPHANT FINANCIAL authorized to collect on Plaintiff's account.
- 69. As CKS FINANCIAL did not obtain the appropriate license under the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act during the time relevant to this matter, it was prohibited from attempting to collect on the MID AMERICA obligation.
- 70. As CKS FINANCIAL did not obtain the appropriate license issued by the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance during the time relevant to this matter, it was prohibited from attempting to collect on the MID AMERICA obligation.
- 71. As OLIPHANT FINANCIAL did not obtain the appropriate license under the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act during the time relevant to this matter, it was prohibited from attempting to collect on the MID AMERICA obligation.
- 72. As OLIPHANT FINANCIAL did not obtain the appropriate license issued by the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance at all relevant to this matter, it was prohibited from attempting to collect on the MID AMERICA obligation.
- 73. As OLIPHANT FINANCIAL did not obtain the appropriate license under the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act at all times relevant to this matter, CKS FINANCIAL was prohibited from attempting to collect on the MID AMERICA obligation.

- 74. As OLIPHANT FINANCIAL did not obtain the appropriate license issued by the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance at all times relevant to this matter, CKS FINANCIAL was prohibited from attempting to collect on the MID AMERICA obligation.
- 75. CKS FINANCIAL knew or should have known that its actions violated the FDCPA.
- 76. OLIPHANT FINANCIAL knew or should have known that its actions violated the FDCPA.
- 77. Defendants could have taken the steps necessary to bring their actions within compliance with the FDCPA, but neglected to do so and failed to adequately review its actions to ensure compliance with the law.

# POLICIES AND PRACTICES COMPLAINED OF

- 78. It is Defendants' policy and practice to send written collection communications, in the form annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**, which violate the FDCPA, by *inter alia*:
  - (a) Using false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt; and
  - (b) Threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken;
  - (c) Using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt; and
  - (d) Making a false representation of the character or amount of the debt.
- 79. Defendants have sent written communications in the form annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**, to at least 50 natural persons in the state of New Jersey within one year of this Complaint.

#### **COUNT I**

# FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. VIOLATIONS

- 80. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, repeats and realleges all prior allegations as if set forth at length herein.
- 81. Collection letters and/or notices, such as those sent by Defendants, are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."
- 82. The form, layout and content of Defendant's letter would cause the least sophisticated consumer to be confused about her or her rights.
- 83. The form, layout and content of Defendant's letter would mislead the least sophisticated consumer to believe that Defendants could legally attempt to collect the debt.
- 84. The form, layout and content of Defendant's letter would cause the least sophisticated consumer to be confused as to whether the balance could and/or would increase.
- 85. The form, layout and content of Defendant's letter would cause the least sophisticated consumer to be confused as to whether the balance included an amount for interest.
- 86. The form, layout and content of Defendant's letter would cause the least sophisticated consumer to be confused as to whether the balance included an amount for costs and/or fees.
- 87. The form, layout and content of Defendant's letter would cause the least sophisticated consumer to believe that Defendants had the legal ability to attempt to collect the debt and that Defendants had acquired the appropriate licenses or had otherwise complied with New Jersey regulations.

- 88. A violation of New Jersey laws, despite no private cause of action, can form the basis of a violation of the FDCPA. See *Chulsky v. Hudson Law Offices*, *P.C.*, 777 F.Supp.2d 823 (D.N.J. 2011).
- 89. Defendants' attempt to collect the alleged debt without first obtaining the license(s) necessary under New Jersey law violated various provisions of the FDCPA including but not limited to: 15 U.S.C. § 1692e; § 1692e(2)(A); § 1692e(5); § 1692e(10); and § 1692f et seq.
- 90. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA by using any false, deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with their attempts to collect debts from Plaintiff and others similarly situated.
- 91. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA in connection with their communications to Plaintiff and others similarly situated.
- 92. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA by falsely implying that they had the right or legal authority to collect debts from Plaintiff and others similarly situated.
- 93. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA by not disclosing that the amount allegedly due on the MID AMERICA obligation included an amount for interest and an amount for costs and/or fees.
- 94. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from making a false representation of the character, amount or legal status of a debt.
- 95. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by including an amount for interest in the balance stated in the February 2, 2018 letter.
- 96. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by including an amount for costs and/or fees in the balance stated in the February 2, 2018 letter.

- 97. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by making false representations of the character, amount or legal status of a debt.
- 98. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) as Defendants were prohibited from charging or collecting interest under the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act.
- 99. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) as Defendants were prohibited from collecting on the MID AMERICA obligation because they failed to comply with the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act.
- 100. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.
- 101. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) by attempting to collect the alleged debt without first obtaining the license(s) necessary under New Jersey law.
- 102. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) prohibits the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 103. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) by attempting to collect the alleged debt without first obtaining the license(s) necessary under New Jersey law.
- 104. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f *et seq*. of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 105. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f et seq. of the FDCPA by attempting to collect interest, which it is not authorized or permitted by law to charge or collect.
- 106. Defendants should be disgorged of all money collected from members of the class during the relevant period as ill-gotten gains.
- 107. Defendant CKS FINANCIAL is vicariously liable for any violations of the FDCPA that OLIPHANT FINANCIAL committed as described herein.

- 108. Defendant OLIPHANT FINANCIAL is vicariously liable for any violations of the FDCPA that CKS FINANCIAL committed as described herein. See *Fox v. Citicorp Credit Services, Inc.*, 15 F.3d 1507 (9th Cir. 1994); *Pollice v. National Tax Funding, L.P.*, 225 F.3d 379 (3d Cir. 2000).
- 109. Congress enacted the FDCPA in part to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors.
- 110. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have a right to be free from abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors.
- 111. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have a right to receive proper notices mandated by the FDCPA.
- 112. Plaintiff and others similarly situated were sent letters, which could have affected their decision-making with regard to the debt.
- 113. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have suffered harm as a direct result of the abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices described herein.
- 114. Plaintiff has suffered damages and other harm as a direct result of Defendants actions, conduct, omissions and violations of the FDCPA described herein.

# WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and her attorneys as Class Counsel;
  - (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages, including but not limited to a disgorgement of all money collected during the relevant period;
  - (d) Awarding pre-judgment interest;

(e) Awarding post-judgment interest.

(f) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees

and expenses; and

(g) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as the Court

may deem just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY** 

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a

trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: October 18, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Ben A. Kaplan

Ben A. Kaplan, Esq. (NJ 037712008)

CHULSKY KAPLAN, LLC

280 Prospect Avenue, 6G Hackensack, New Jersey 07601

Phone (877) 827-3395 ex 102

Cell Phone: (201) 803-6611

Fax: (877) 827-3394

ben@chulskykaplanlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 11.2** 

I, hereby certify that the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other court,

arbitration or administrative proceeding, except that there is another matter pending in the United

States District Court of New Jersey entitled Hightower v. Webcollex, LLC et al; 3:18-cv-12457-

FLW-LHG.

Dated: October 18, 2018

/s/ Ben A. Kaplan

Ben A. Kaplan, Esq. (NJ 037712008) CHULSKY KAPLAN, LLC 280 Prospect Avenue, 6G Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 Phone (877) 827-3395 ex 102 Cell Phone: (201) 803-6611

Fax: (877) 827-3394

 $\underline{ben@chulskykaplanlaw.com}$ 

Attorneys for Plaintiff

# EXHIBIT A

Case 2:18-cv-15100-CCC-JBC Document 1 Filed 10/18 18

02/06/2018



Page 20 of 21 PageID: 20 PO Box 2856

Chesapeake, VA 23327-2856

Greditor: Mid America Bank and Trust - Verve

Account ID: 128 Balance: \$1,003.28

Dear Teresa Concepcion,

This letter serves as notification to inform you that Oliphant Financial LLC purchased your Mid America Bank and Trust -Verve account, and has been placed with this office.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

You may call our office our office at (888) 273-8454 if you would like to speak with a representative. Our office hours are Monday through Thursday from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM, Friday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM, Eastern Standard Time.

Please send all correspondence & payments to: CKS Financial, P.O. Box 2856, Chesapeake, VA 23327-2856

It's easy to manage your account and make a payment online. Please visit www.cksfin.com.

Webcollex, LLC dba CKS Financial - 505 Independence Parkway, Suite 300, Chesapeake VA 23320 - 888-273-8454

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

CFIN/5 663009714002

94/000000094/000000001

PO Box 1110 Southgate, MI 48195-0110

02/06/2018

իկինակինիկունեիրիննիրըովիկիակիրըիներներն

Teresa Concepcion 61 Wallace St Belleville, NJ 07109-3543



# Case 2:18-cv-15100-CCC-JBC Document 1 Filed 10/18/18 Page 21 of 21 PageID: 21 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	cket sneet. (SEE INSTRUCT)	IONS ON NEXT PAGE O	FIHISFO	KM.)						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS SOLIS F/K/A/ CONCEPCION TERESA				DEFENDANTS WEBCOLLEX, LLC D/B/A CKS FINANCIAL; OLIPHANT FINANCIAL. LLC AND JOHN DOES 1-25						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, Email and Telephone Number)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)						
										(c) Auditoys (time raine, ti
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box Only)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP OF PR	RINCIPA	L PARTIES				
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT ten of This State		Incorporated or Prin		PTF  4	DEF  4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity     (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5 of Business In Another State						
				Citizen or Subject of a						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	IKRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES	
CONTRACT   110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other  446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other  448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR    365 Personal Injury - Product Liability   367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability   368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability   368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability   PERSONAL PROPE    370 Other Fraud   371 Truth in Lending   380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability   PRISONER PETITIO   Habeas Corpus:   463 Alien Detainee   510 Motions to Vacat Sentence   530 General   535 Death Penalty Other:   540 Mandamus & Ot   550 Civil Rights   555 Prison Conditions of Confinement	RTY	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other  LABOR 210 Fair Labor Standards Act 220 Labor/Management Relations Act 251 Family and Medical Leave Act 290 Other Labor Litigation 291 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 162 Naturalization Application Actions	422 Appc	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157  RTY RIGHTS rrights at emark  /SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	□ 375 False C □ 376 Qui Tar 3729(a □ 400 State R □ 410 Antitru □ 430 Banks : □ 450 Comme □ 460 Deport □ 470 Racket □ Corrup  ☑ 480 Consur □ 490 Cable/S □ 850 Securit □ Excha □ 890 Other S □ 891 Agricu □ 893 Enviro □ 895 Freedo Act □ 896 Arbitra □ 899 Admin Act/Re	Claims Act m (31 USC )) eapportion st and Bankin erce ation eer Influen t Organizat ner Credit Sat TV cicies/Commo nge Statutory A ltural Acts nmental M m of Inforn ation aistrative Pr view or Ap y Decision tutionality	nment ng nced and tions odities/ Actions attackers mation rocedure	
Proceeding St	emoved from 3 ate Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 15 U.S.C. 1692	Remanded from Appellate Court	Re	instated or	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct I	on -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of ca FDCPA violation	ause:				OHEON MEG.	:C1 1-1:	n agr1-	int:	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	ON	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND: ★ Yes □ No								
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY  (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER										
DATE 10/18/2018	10/18/2018 /s/ Ben Kaplan									
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT #	MOUNT	APPLYING IFF	•	JUDGE		MAG. JU	DGE			